

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/014,521	12/14/2001	Hiroshi Yabe	XA-9598 3563	
181 75	12/18/2006		EXAMINER	
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC			RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
1751 PINNACI SUITE 500	LE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	22102-3833		3683	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/014,521	YABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pam Rodriguez	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 O	ctober 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 5-13</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>2 and 5-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	•	od II7 illio Malional Glago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed			
	or and coramou copied free recent				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed October 6, 2006 has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,269,198 to Fukushima.

Regarding Claim 5, Fukushima discloses a damper assembly (3/23) with a torque limiter 51 having all the features of the instant invention including: the damper assembly having a single torque transmission path between an input axis and an output axis (see column 2 lines 50-63), a friction torque limiter 51 between the input axis or the output axis (see Figure 2) and an airtight damper 3/23, wherein the friction torque limiter is in series with the damper 3/23 (see Figure 2) and limiting the torque which can be transmitted through the damper (see column 4 lines 10-47), and wherein the friction torque limiter 51 is provided inside the damper 3/23 (see Figure 2) and includes a ring member 1 having opposite axial end portions (see element 1 in Figure 1 and its top and bottom outermost ends depicted therein) and a circumferential sidewall portion (see

Art Unit: 3683

Figure 1 and the portion of element 1 located in between the aforementioned outermost ends) joining the opposite axial end portions, wherein the circumferential sidewall portion has a conical periphery (at surfaces 53) providing a friction surface through which torque is transmitted in the friction path (see column 3 lines 65-68).

Regarding Claim 6, see Figures 1 and 3 of the reference.

Regarding Claim 7, see Claim 5 above and further note that the ring member 1 has a conical peripheral friction surface 53 which is frictionally engaged with an adjacent conical surface (i.e., the surface of balls 52) and through which torque is thereby transmitted in the friction path (see column 3 line 65 – column 4 line 30).

Regarding Claim 8, see Figures 1 and 3.

Regarding Claim 9, see Claim 5 above and further note the plurality of friction plates 1.

Regarding Claim 10, note that friction plates 1 are pressed by a spring 55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,680,918 to Reik et al.

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding Claim 2, Reik et al disclose a damper assembly (see Figure 1) with a torque limiter (friction clutch 4), said damper assembly having a single torque transmission path between an input axis (left side of Figure 1) and an output axis (right side of Figure 1) having all the features of the instant invention including: a friction torque limiter (3,52,3a,5a) between the input axis or the output axis (see column 7 lines 47 et al and column 8 lines 1-23) and an airtight damper 9 (see column 9 lines 5-15, i.e., inherently air tight in order to hold the lubricant in the damper chamber), wherein the friction torque limiter is in series with the damper and limiting the torque which can be transmitted through the damper (see Figure 1), and wherein the friction torque limiter is attached outside the airtight damper (see Figure 1 which shows that the torque limiter is outside of damper 9) and includes a torque transmission plate 5a fixed to a drive member of the damper 9, and a friction plate 5A held in frictional engagement with the torque transmission plate 5a by a press plate 52 having a radially outer peripheral portion (see Figure 1 and the portion of element 52 through which bolt 61 passes therethrough) engaged with a radially inner peripheral portion (see Figure 1 and the inner portion of element 4 through which bolt 61 extends therethrough) of a torque transmitting member 4 via bolt 61 and pressed toward the torque transmission plate 5a by a press spring 54 (see Figure 1).

However, Reik et al do not disclose that the press plate is spline-engaged with an inner peripheral portion of the torque transmitting member.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the press plate of Reik et al to be spline-

Art Unit: 3683

engaged with the inner peripheral portion of the torque transmitting member as an alternate means of securing the attachment between the two mating parts. As long as some sort of connection is maintained between the press plate and the torque transmitting member, the means used to secure the two together is arbitrary.

6. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima.

Regarding Claim 11, Fukushima discloses that the friction plates 1 are arranged with a torque transmission member 52 disposed therein.

However, Fukushima does not disclose that the transmission member is a torque transmission plate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the balls of Fukushima for a torque transmitting plate as an alternate means of transmitting the torque throughout the system. Whether a ball structure or a plate type structure is used, as long as the torque is properly transmitted, the means used to do so is merely a matter of design choice.

Regarding Claim 12, Fukushima discloses that the friction plates 1 are engaged with a torque transmitting member 10 of the damper (see Figure 1) and the torque transmission means/plate is engaged with the drive plate 3.

However, Fukushima does not disclose that the engagement between these mating parts is a spline type of engagement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the friction plates of Fukushima to be spline-

Art Unit: 3683

engaged with the torque transmitting member and the torque transmission means (plate) to be spline-engaged with the drive plate as an alternate means of securing the attachment between the mating parts. As long as some sort of connection is maintained between the friction plates and the torque transmitting member and between the torque transmission plate and the drive plate, the means used to secure the parts together is arbitrary.

Regarding Claim 13, Fukushima discloses that the friction plates 1 are engaged with a radially adjacent member 10.

However, Fukushima does not disclose that the engagement between the parts is a spline-type of engagement.

See the obviousness statement for Claim 12 above which applies here as well.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to newly amended Claims 5-8, see the rejections of these amended Claims above. Applicant's attention is also directed to the examiner's response to these similar type arguments in the office action mailed April 6, 2006. In particular, with respect to Claims 5 and 7, applicant argues that ring 1 of Fukushima does not have a conical periphery in a circumferential sidewall portion, as now claimed, but rather Fukushima merely discloses conical depressions formed on an axial face thereof.

Art Unit: 3683

The examiner contends that the conical depressions 53 are located on a circumferential sidewall portion of ring member 1 of Fukushima as rejected above.

Thus, these depressions constitute a conical periphery at least at those depression surfaces. Therefore, the claim limitations are still met.

With regards to applicant's arguments with respect to Claim 2 and the Reik et al reference, the examiner maintains the rejection of this claim for the reasons previously set forth in the office action mailed April 6, 2006. The examiner still contends that a spline connection would merely be an alternate equivalent means of attaching the press plate and the torque transmitting member. As long as this connection is made, the type of connection used is merely a matter of design preference. Further, there is no structure in the Reik et al reference that would negate or discount the use of such a spline connection.

And lastly with regards to applicant's comments concerning new Claims 9-13, see the examiner's rejections of these new claims above.

It is for all these reasons that the rejections have been maintained.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3683

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pam Rodriguez whose telephone number is 571-272-7122. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays 5:30 AM -4 PM and Tuesdays 5 AM -11 AM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pam Rodriguez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

12/11/06

Pr 12/11/06